arXiv:1703.03148v1 [math.CO] 9 Mar 2017

On Hamilton Cycle Decompositions of Tensor Products of Graphs

P. Paulraja*[1] and S. Sampath Kumar†[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002, India
[2]Department of Mathematics, SSN College of Engineering, Kalavakkam-603110, India

Abstract

A Hamiltonian decomposition of G is a partition of its edge set into disjoint Hamilton cycles. Manikandan and Paulraja conjectured that if G and H are Hamilton cycle decomposable circulant graphs with at least one of them is nonbipartite, then their tensor product is Hamilton cycle decomposable. In this paper, we have proved that, if G is a Hamilton cycle decomposable circulant graph with certain properties and H is a Hamilton cycle decomposable multigraph, then their tensor product is Hamilton cycle decomposable. In particular, tensor products of certain sparse Hamilton cycle decomposable circulant graphs are Hamilton cycle decomposable.

1 Introduction.

All graphs considered here are simple and finite, unless otherwise stated. Let C_k (respectively P_k), denote the cycle (respectively path) on k vertices. The complete graph on n vertices is denoted by K_n and its complement is denoted by $\overline{K}_n$. For two graphs G and H their *tensor product*, denoted by $G \times H$, has vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ in which two vertices (g_1, h_1) and (g_2, h_2) are adjacent whenever $g_1g_2 \in E(G)$ and $h_1h_2 \in E(H)$. The *wreath product* of the graphs G and H, denoted by $G \circ H$, has vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ in which $(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2)$ is an edge whenever g_1g_2 is an edge in G, or $g_1 = g_2$ and h_1h_2 is an edge in H. Similarly, the *cartesian product* of the graphs G and H, denoted by $G \square H$, has vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ in which $(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2)$ is an edge whenever $g_1 = g_2$ and h_1h_2 is an edge in H, or $h_1 = h_2$ and g_1g_2 is an edge in G. The subgraph induced by $S \subseteq V(G)$ is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$. Similarly, the subgraph induced by $E' \subseteq E(G)$ is denoted by $\langle E' \rangle$. For a graph G, $G(\lambda)$ is the graph obtained from G by replacing each edge of G by λ parallel edges. For a graph G, G^* is the symmetric digraph of G.

For two loopless multigraphs $G(\lambda)$ and $H(\mu)$, their tensor product, denoted by $G(\lambda) \times H(\mu)$, has the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and its edge set is described as follows: if $e = g_1g_2$ is an edge of multiplicity λ in $G(\lambda)$ and $f = h_1h_2$ is an edge of multiplicity μ in $H(\mu)$, then corresponding to these edges there are edges $(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2)$ and $(g_1, h_2)(g_2, h_1)$ each of multiplicity $\lambda\mu$ in $G(\lambda) \times H(\mu)$ and $G(\lambda) \times H(\mu)$ is isomorphic to $(G \times H)(\lambda\mu)$. Hence $G(\lambda) \times H \cong G \times H(\lambda) \cong (G \times H)(\lambda)$.

*ppraja56@gmail.com
†ssamkumar.2008@gmail.com

If $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$ are edge-disjoint subgraphs of G and $E(G) = E(H_1) \cup E(H_2) \cup \ldots \cup E(H_k)$, then we write $G = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus H_k$. For a graph G, if $E(G)$ can be partitioned into $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$ such that $\langle E_i \rangle \cong H$, for all i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, then we say that H decomposes G, or H*-decomposition* of G exists. Clearly, the tensor product is commutative and distributive over edge-disjoint union of graphs, that is, if $G = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus H_k$, then $G \times H = (H_1 \times H) \oplus (H_2 \times H) \oplus \ldots \oplus (H_k \times H)$.

Let G be a finite group and let S be a symmetric subset of G (that is, $s \in S$ implies $-s \in S$). The vertices of the *Cayley graph,* $Cay(S, G)$, are the elements of G and there is an edge between x and y if and only if $x - y \in S$. Note that $Cay(S, G)$ is connected if and only if S generates the group G. A *circulant* $X = Circ(n; L)$ is a graph with vertex set $V(X) = \{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}\}$ and edge set $E(X) = \{u_i u_{i+\ell} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, \ell \in L\}$, where $L \subseteq \left\{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\right\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the set of integers modulo n. The elements of L are called *jumps.* Clearly, every circulant graph of order n is a Cayley graph with the underlying group being $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

The problem of finding Hamilton cycle decompositions of product graphs is not new. Hamilton cycle decompositions of various product graphs, including digraphs, have been studied by many authors; see, for example, [1, 6, 9–11, 13–18]. It has been conjectured [6] that if both G and H are Hamilton cycle decomposable graphs, then $G \square H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable, where $\square$ denotes the cartesian product of graphs. This conjecture has been verified to be true for a large classes of graphs [18]. Baranyai and Szász [5] proved that if both G and H are even regular Hamilton cycle decomposable graphs, then $G \circ H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable. In [16], Ng has obtained a partial solution to the following conjecture of Alspach et al. [1]: If D_1 and D_2 are directed Hamilton cycle decomposable digraphs, then $D_1 \circ D_2$ is directed Hamilton cycle decomposable. Jha [10] conjectured the following

Conjecture 1.1 [10] *If both G and H are Hamilton cycle decomposable graphs and $G \times H$ is connected, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable.*

Conjecture 1.1 was disproved, see [3]. Because of this, finding Hamilton cycle decompositions of the tensor products of Hamilton cycle decomposable graphs is considered to be difficult. Though Conjecture 1.1 has been disproved, we believe that if the graphs G and H are suitably chosen, that is, with some suitable conditions imposed on them, then $G \times H$ may have Hamilton cycle decomposition. In [2] and [13] it has been proved that $K_r \times K_s$ and $K_{r,r} \times K_m$ are Hamilton cycle decomposable; in [14] it is shown that the tensor product of two regular complete multipartite graphs is Hamilton cycle decomposable. Hamilton cycle decompositions of the tensor products of complete bipartite graphs and complete multipartite graphs are dealt with in [15]. Also in [17], Paulraja and Sivasankar proved that $(K_r \times K_s)^*$, $((K_r \circ \overline{K}_s) \times K_n)^*$, $((K_r \times K_s) \times K_m)^*$, $((K_r \circ \overline{K}_s) \times (K_m \circ \overline{K}_n))^*$ and $(K_{r,r} \times (K_m \circ \overline{K}_n))^*$ are directed Hamilton cycle decomposable. It can be observed that K_r, $K_{r,r}$, $K_r \circ \overline{K}_s$ are circulant graphs. Based on the results of [10, 11, 13–15], Manikandan and Paulraja conjectured the following:

Conjecture 1.2 (Manikandan and Paulraja) [12]. *If G and H are Hamilton cycle decomposable circulant graphs and at least one of them is non bipartite, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable.*

Theorem 1.1 [6] *If both G and H have Hamilton cycle decompositions and at least one of them is of odd order, then $G \times H$ admits a Hamilton cycle decomposition.* □

One may naturally ask when is $G \times H$ Hamilton cycle decomposable, if both G and H are of even order. In this paper it is partially answered.

We say that an even regular circulant graph $X = Circ(n; L)$ has the *property* Q, if (1) the number of odd and even jumps in L are equal; (2) odd jumps can be paired with even jumps so that each of the $\frac{|L|}{2}$ resulting 4-regular graphs is connected. It is known that every 4-regular connected circulant graph is Hamilton cycle decomposable; see [7]. Consequently, every circulant graph with property Q is Hamilton cycle decomposable.

Here we prove the following main Theorem.

Theorem 1.2 *Let G be a circulant graph with property Q and let H be any Hamilton cycle decomposable multigraph, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable.*

This theorem has many interesting consequences. In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1 *If G and H are even regular Hamilton cycle decomposable circulant graphs and at least one of them has the property Q, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable.*

One of the consequences of Corollary 1.1 is that if $G = (K_{4n+2} - F)$ and $H = (K_{2m} - F')$, where F and F' are 1-factors of K_{4n+2} and K_{2m}, respectively, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable. In particular, after deleting suitable number of jumps of K_{4n+2} and K_{2m}, the resulting graphs need not be dense but their tensor product is Hamilton cycle decomposable. This cannot be deduced from the existing results in this direction.

2 Notation and Preliminaries.

First we present the necessary definitions here. The notation that we use here are from [7] and for the sake of completeness we give them.

The Cayley graph $\Gamma = Cay(n, S)$, where $S = \{a, b\}$ is a generating set of the finite abelian group with $2a \neq 0$, $2b \neq 0$ and $a \neq \pm b$, is a simple connected graph. We call the edge $x(x + a)$ of Γ an a-edge; the subgraph formed by the a-edges is a disjoint union of cycles, called a-cycles, each of length k_a, the order of the element a. Similarly, we define b-edges and b-cycles; each b-cycle is of length k_b, the order of the element b. In Γ, let us denote the number of a-cycles by α and the number of b-cycles by β. Since the length of each a-cycle (respectively b-cycle) is $k_a = \frac{n}{\alpha}$, (respectively $k_b = \frac{n}{\beta}$,) $n = \alpha k_a$ (respectively $n = \beta k_b$).


As the graph Γ is connected, the vertices $0, b, \ldots, \ell b, \ldots, (\alpha - 1)b$ are in the α a-cycles denoted by $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_\ell, \ldots, C_{\alpha-1}$, respectively, and αb belongs to C_0, see [7]. Hence, we have $\alpha b = ca$ for some c with $0 \leq c \leq k_a - 1$. Further, every vertex x of Γ can be uniquely written as $x = ib + ja$ with $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \alpha - 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_a - 1\}$. Using this uniqueness, label the vertices of Γ as (i, j) with $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \alpha - 1\}$, and $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_a - 1\}$, where the first coordinate indicates the label of the cycle C_i containing the vertex and the second coordinate indicates the position of the vertex on the cycle.

The following definition comprises the notation and defines a class of simple graphs, which we denote by $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 2.1 [7] *Let $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the class of simple graphs on αk vertices, where $\alpha \geq 1$, $k \geq 3$, $0 \leq c < k$, and $\beta = gcd(k, c)$. The αk vertices of the graph can be labeled (i, j) with i taken*

modulo α *and* j *taken modulo* k. *The edges are* (1) *First kind:* $(i, j)(i, j+1)$ *and* (2) *Second kind:* $(i, j)(i+1, j)$ *for all* $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \alpha-2\}$, *and* $(\alpha-1, j)(0, j+c)$.

A graph $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ has α "vertical" disjoint cycles C_i, $0 \le i < \alpha$, with a natural orientation and $\alpha - 1$ horizontal *parallel matchings* between the cycles C_i and C_{i+1} for $0 \le i < \alpha - 1$, and a particular *parallel matching* between $C_{\alpha-1}$ and C_0 (which depends on the value of c). A graph $\Gamma \in \Gamma(2, \beta)$ consists of two cycles plus two perfect matchings between them. A graph $\Gamma \in \Gamma(1, \beta)$ consists of a cycle plus the chords joining $(0, j)$ to $(0, j+c)$. Observe that $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\Gamma(\beta, \alpha)$ are isomorphic classes of graphs, that is, an element $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ is isomorphic to an element $\Gamma_2 \in \Gamma(\beta, \alpha)$ and vice versa, for example see Figure 1.



Graphs of Figures 1(*a*), 1(*b*) and 1(*c*) are isomorphic.
(*a*) Graph $G = Circ(12, \{2, 3\})$, where the edges of jump 2 give 2 disjoint cycles each of length 6 and the edges of jump 3 give 3 disjoint cycles each of length 4.
(*b*) G is an element of $\Gamma(2, 3)$ with $c = 3$.
(*c*) G in (*b*) is drawn as an element of $\Gamma(3, 2)$ with $c = 2$.

Figure 1

Definition 2.2 [7] *A Hamilton cycle decomposition of a graph* $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ *has the property* Q_1, *if both Hamilton cycles use at least one edge of the matching between* C_i *and* C_{i+1}, *for all* $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \alpha-1\}$, *where* $C_\alpha = C_0$.

Theorem 2.1 [7] *The class* $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ *consists of the* 4*-regular connected Cayley graphs on a finite abelian group with a generating set* $\{a, b\}$, *where* α *is the number of* a*-cycles and* β *is the number of* b*-cycles.* □

Using the above theorem, Bermond et al. proved the following

Theorem 2.2 [7] *Every* 4*-regular connected Cayley graph on a finite abelian group can be decomposed into two Hamilton cycles.*

If G and H are Hamilton cycle decomposable graphs with at least one of them having odd order, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable, by Theorem 1.1; hence in what follows, **we assume that both G and H are Hamilton cycle decomposable graphs each having an even number of vertices.** If G is a connected 4-regular circulant graph of even order with generating set $\{a, b\}$ where a and b are of different parity such that $2a \neq 0$, $2b \neq 0$ and $a \neq \pm b$, then by the Definition 2.1, α and β are of different parity. For our convenience, according to the situation we consider the parity of a and b. **In what follows, we assume that $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ denotes the class of Cayley graphs on the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_n$** (that is, circulants) with generating set $\{a, b\}$ and by Theorem 2.1, $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ consists of the class of 4-regular connected circulants of order n with generating set $\{a, b\}$, where α is the number of a-cycles in a 2-factor and β is the number of b-cycles.

In the rest of the paper, **we assume that $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ is a 4-regular circulant graph of even order with generating set $\{a, b\}$ where a and b are of different parity; consequently, α and β are of different parity.**

Definition 2.3 *Let $\{H_1, H_2\}$ be a Hamilton cycle decomposition of a 4-regular graph G of even order with at least 6 vertices. If G contains a 4-cycle $(a\,b\,c\,d)$ such that the edges ab and cd belong to one of the two Hamilton cycles and the edges bc and da are on the other Hamilton cycle, then the 4-cycle is said to be an alternating 4-cycle in G, with respect to H_1 and H_2. Further, if the vertices a and c (or b and d) are at an odd distance along each of the two Hamilton cycles H_i, then the 4-cycle $(a\,b\,c\,d)$ is said to be an odd alternating 4-cycle in G with respect to $\{H_1, H_2\}$. We say that the graph G has property Q_2, if G contains an odd alternating 4-cycle with respect to a Hamilton cycle decomposition of it.*

The proof techniques we use here heavily depend on [7].

The *reduced graph* $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ with respect to $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha + 2, \beta)$ is defined as follows: delete the vertices of the cycles C_α and $C_{\alpha+1}$ of Γ, that is, the vertices (α, j) and $(\alpha + 1, j)$, $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, and add the edges $(\alpha - 1, j)(0, j + c)$, $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, joining $C_{\alpha-1}$ and C_0, where c is given in the definition of $\Gamma(\alpha + 2, \beta)$. In some cases Γ' might be a multigraph and, if it is a simple graph, it is an element of $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ (k and c being unchanged). Successive reductions of the resulting graphs result in a graph $\Gamma^k \in \Gamma(\alpha + 2 - 2k, \beta)$ for some $k \geq 1$. $\Gamma^k \in \Gamma(\alpha + 2 - 2k, \beta)$ is also called a reduced graph of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha + 2, \beta)$.


The graph $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\nu + 2, \delta)$ is said to be *lift graph* of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\nu, \delta)$, if Γ is obtained as follows: delete the edges $(\nu - 1, j)(0, j + c)$, $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, joining $C_{\nu-1}$ and C_0 of Γ'; then add two a-cycles C_ν and $C_{\nu+1}$ with vertices (ν, j) and $(\nu + 1, j)$, $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, to Γ', and add the edges $(\nu - 1, j)(\nu, j)$, $(\nu, j)(\nu + 1, j)$, $(\nu + 1, j)(0, j + c)$, $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, where c is as given in the definition of $\Gamma(\nu, \delta)$. Successive liftings of the resulting graphs result in a graph $\Gamma^k \in \Gamma(\nu + 2k, \delta)$ for some $k \geq 1$. $\Gamma^k \in \Gamma(\nu + 2k, \delta)$ is also called a lift graph of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\nu, \delta)$.

We use $p_G(x, y)$ to denote the length of a path (not necessarily a shortest path) from x to y in the graph G. By (a, b)-section, we denote a path from a to b.


Lemma 2.1 *Every graph $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, where $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2$, has a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ with the properties Q_1 and Q_2.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$. Since $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, without loss of generality assume that $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$. Consequently, a is even and b is odd as $2 = \alpha = gcd(n, a)$ and $1 = \beta = gcd(n, b)$.


Clearly, $c \neq 0$ (otherwise $\beta \neq 1$). The existence of Hamilton cycles H_1 and H_2 in Γ described below is in [7]. A Hamilton cycle H_1 is obtained by deleting the edges $0a$ and $(-b)(-b+a)$ from C_0 and C_1, respectively, and adding the edges $(-b)0$ and $(-b+a)a$ connecting these two a-cycles, that is, $H_1 = \{C_0 - \{0a\}\} \cup \{C_1 - \{(-b)(-b+a)\}\} \cup \{0(-b),\ (-b+a)a\}$, see Figure 2(b); $H_2 = \Gamma - E(H_1)$ is shown in the solid lines of Figure 2(c1). Clearly, these two Hamilton cycles use both a and b-edges and hence the property Q_1 holds.



(a). $\Gamma \in \Gamma(2,\ 1)$




(b). H_1



$(c1)$. H_2

$\cong$



$(c2)$. A redrawing of H_2

(a). A member Γ of $\Gamma(2,\ 1)$

(b). Hamilton cycle H_1 of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(2,\ 1)$

(c1). The solid lines are edges on H_2. Other than the two a-edges $0a$ and $(-b)(-b+a)$, all edges of H_2 (and thus all edges connecting C_0 and C_1) are b-edges.

(c2). Another drawing of the Hamilton cycle H_2 of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(2,\ 1)$.

Figure 2

Claim. The graph $\Gamma \in \Gamma(2, 1)$ satisfies the property Q_2 with respect to the above Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$.


Since $\alpha = 2$, there are two a-cycles C_0 and C_1 and there are two parallel matchings between C_0 and C_1. We denote one of the matchings from C_0 to C_1 as M_0 and the other matching from C_1 to C_0 as M_1; let the edges of M_0 be $(0, j)(1, j)$, $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and let the edges of M_1 be $(1, j)(0, j + c)$, $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$, where addition is taken modulo n. We call the vertex $(i, j)\,(= ib + ja)$ of C_0 as the *corresponding vertex* of $(i + 1, j)\,(= (i + 1)b + ja)$ of C_1 and vice versa. We prove the existence of the property Q_2 with respect to the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of Γ by the parity of c.

Case 1. $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$.

First, we shall obtain an even length path along H_1, so that this path is a section of a suitable odd length path along H_1 having its ends as two "opposite vertices" of an odd alternating 4-cycle in Γ; the existence of the odd alternating 4-cycle will be proved later.

In (**A**) below, we obtain a required even length path along H_1 and in (**B**) we prove that the path obtained in (**A**) is a section of a path along H_1 joining two "opposite vertices" of an odd alternating 4-cycle.

(A). First we consider c to be even and consider the Hamilton cycle H_1 of Γ obtained above. Since M_1 is a perfect matching of Γ and M_1 matches the vertices in C_1 with vertices in C_0, $(-b)0$ is an edge of M_1, which is one of the two M_1 edges in H_1, see Figure 3(a). Clearly, $-b \equiv (\frac{n}{2} - c)a + b\,(mod\ n)$, that is, $-b$ is the $(\frac{n}{2} - c + 1)$ th vertex of C_1, starting from b, see Figure 3(a). As $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd, $(\frac{n}{2} - c + 1)$ is even and hence the length of the section of C_1 from b to $-b$ (containing the vertex $b + a$) is odd.



(a) H_1 and R_0, when c is even

(b) H_1 and R_0, when c is odd

H_1 is shown in both Figures 1(a) and 1(b), wherein the bold lines are the required even length path.

Figure 3

As the length of the section of C_1 from b to $-b$ (containing the vertex $(b+a)$) is the same as the length of the section of C_0 from a to $(-2b+a)$ (containing the vertex $2a$), the length of the path from a to $-2b+a$ along H_1, denoted by $p_{H_1}(a, -2b+a)$ is odd and let this path be R. Now R together with the edge $a(-b+a)$ is our required even length path R_0, see the bold edges of Figure 3(a).


Next we suppose that c is odd. As in the above paragraph, the vertex 0 in C_0 is matched under M_1 with the vertex $-b \equiv (\frac{n}{2}-c)a+b\,(mod\ n)$; $\frac{n}{2}-c$ is even as $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd. Thus the length of the section of C_1 from b to $-b$ (containing the vertex $(b+a)$) is even and hence the length of the section R_1 of C_1 from b to $(-b+a)$ (containing the vertex $(-a+b)$) is even (note that $(-b)(-b+a)$ is an edge of C_1 which we have deleted for the construction of H_1). Now R_1 together with the edges $\{(b+a)b, (-b+a)a\}$ is our required even length path R_0 from the vertex a to the vertex $b+a$ along H_1, see the bold edges of Figure 3(b).

(**B**). H_1 contains exactly two edges of M_1 as shown in the Figure 3. Hence except the two 4-cycles of Γ, namely, $(0, a, a+b, b)$ and $(-2b, -2b+a, -b+a, -b)$, the other 4-cycles, which have two consecutive vertices of C_0 and the corresponding two vertices of C_1 constitute alternating 4-cycles (with respect to H_1 and H_2 of Γ).

If c is even, it is clear that every 4-cycle containing two consecutive vertices of the section $(-2b+a)(-2b+2a)(-2b+3a)\ldots((\frac{n}{2}-1)a)0$ of C_0 and the two corresponding consecutive vertices of the section $(-b+a)(-b+2a)(-b+3a)\ldots(b-a)b$ of C_1 is an alternating 4-cycle of Γ with respect to H_1 and H_2, see the Figure 3 (note that $a=2b$ is ruled out, for otherwise, it would imply $c=1$ for the following reason: $a=2b$ implies $b=-b+a$ and since $(-b+a)a$ is an edge of H_1 joining C_1 and C_0 implies $c=1$, which is not the case as we consider c is even). Similarly if c is odd, every 4-cycle containing two consecutive vertices in the section $a\,(2a)\,(3a)\ \ldots\ (-2b)$ of C_0 and the two corresponding consecutive vertices in the section $(b+a)\,(b+2a)\,(b+3a)\ \ldots\ (-b)$ of C_1 is an alternating 4-cycle of Γ with respect to H_1 and H_2; note if $a=-2b$, then $a=-ca$ so $-a=ca=(\frac{n}{2}-1)a$ but then $c \equiv (\frac{n}{2}-1)\,(mod\ \frac{n}{2})$, that is, $c=\frac{n}{2}-1$, which is even, a contradiction.

Next we prove that the alternating 4-cycles described in the above paragraph using the sections of C_0 and C_1 satisfy the property that the length of the path along H_1 between the opposite vertices of the 4-cycle is of odd length and further this odd length path contains R_0 (described above).

Let $C=(x, x+a, x+a+b, x+b)$ be an alternating 4-cycle so that $x, x+a \in V(C_0)$ and $x+a+b, x+b \in V(C_1)$, see Figure 4. The vertices x and $x+b$ are the corresponding vertices in C_0 and C_1. If c is even, then the $(-2b+a, x)$-section of H_1, contained in C_0, and the corresponding $(x+b, (-b+a))$-section of H_1 contained in C_1 have the same length, see Figure 4(a). Hence the $(x, x+a+b)$-section of H_1 (containing the vertex $-2b$) is of odd length as it contains R_0, which is of even length, see Figure 4(a); that is $p_{H_1}(x, x+a+b)$ is odd when c is even. Similarly, if c is odd then, the (a, x)-section of H_1 (containing the vertex $2a$), contained in C_0, and the corresponding $(b+a, x+b)$-section of H_1, contained in C_1 (containing the vertex $b+2a$), have the same length. Thus the $(x, x+a+b)$-section of H_1 (containing the vertex $-b+a$) is of odd length as it contains R_0, which is of even length, see Figure 4(b). That is $p_{H_1}(x, x+a+b)$ is odd when c is odd, see Figure 4(b).



(a) The lengths of the sections $(-2b+a,\ x)$ along H_1 (section of C_0) and $(-b+a,\ x+b)$ along H_1 (section of C_1) are same and $(x+b)(x+a+b)$ is an edge
(b) the lengths of the sections $(a,\ x)$ along H_1 (part of C_0) and $(b+a,\ x+b)$ along H_1 (part of C_1) are same and $(x+a)(x+a+b)$ is an edge.

Figure 4

Next we shall obtain an appropriate x on C_0 so that $p_{H_2}(x,\ x+a+b)$ is also odd which gives the property Q_2 with respect to H_1 and H_2. As H_2 contains exactly two a-edges, namely, $(-b)(-b+a)$ and $0a$ (see Figure 2(c2)), $H_2-\{0a,\ (-b)(-b+a)\}$ is a pair of odd length paths (since the vertices of these paths alternate between $V(C_0)$ and $V(C_1)$). Let $S_0 = 0\,(b)\,(2b)\,\ldots\,(-b+a)$ and $S_1 = a\,(a+b)\,(a+2b)\,\ldots\,(-b)$ denote these paths, namely, the $(0,\ (-b+a))$-section and $(-b,\ a)$-section of H_2, respectively, see Figures 2(c1) and 2(c2).

If S_0 (respectively S_1) contains a pair of consecutive vertices x and $x+a$ of C_0 (note that the edge $x(x+a)$ is in H_1 and S_0 is contained in H_2), then $p_{H_2}(x,\ x+a+b)$ is odd as the vertices of S_0 (respectively S_1) alternate between $V(C_0)$ and $V(C_1)$ and $(x+a)(x+a+b)$ is an edge in H_2. We shall prove the existence of such a pair of consecutive vertices in the sequence of vertices $L_0 = (-2b+a)\,(-2b+2a)\,(-2b+3a)\,\ldots\,(\frac{n}{2}-1)a\,0$, or in $L_1 = a\,(2a)\,(3a)\,\ldots\,(-2b)$, see Figure 4.

Let c be even. From the construction of H_1 and H_2, the vertices 0 and $-2b+a$ are in S_0, (see Figure 2(c1)). There are even number of vertices in L_0 as R_0 is of even length and C_0 has odd number of vertices (see Figure 3(a)). If there is no pair of consecutive vertices in L_0 of the required type in S_0 or S_1, then the preceding vertex of 0, namely, $(\frac{n}{2}-1)a\,(=-a)$, and the succeeding vertex of $-2b+a$, namely, $-2b+2a$, are in S_1, as the vertices 0 and $-2b+a$ are in S_0; consequently, there must be an odd number of vertices from $-2b+2a$ to $(\frac{n}{2}-1)a(=-a)$ in L_0, which is not the case (since in L_0, $-2b+2a$ to $-a$ contains even number of vertices). Therefore there must exist a pair of consecutive vertices in L_0 of the required type and hence Γ satisfies property Q_2 with respect to H_1 and H_2.

Next we assume that c is odd. As above, we shall show the existence of the required pair of consecutive vertices in $L_1 = a\,(2a)\,(3a)\,\ldots\,(-2b)$. L_1 has even number of vertices (see Figure 3(b)). Assume that there is no pair of vertices in L_1 of the required type in S_0 or S_1 and hence alternate vertices of L_1 are in S_0 and S_1. Then, as the vertices a and $-2b$ are in S_1, the vertices $2a$ and $-2b-a$ must be in S_0 and hence there must be an odd number of vertices from $2a$ to $-2b-a$ in L_1, which is not the case (as L_1 contains even number of vertices from $2a$ to $-2b-a$). Thus there must exist a pair of consecutive vertices as required and hence Γ satisfies the property Q_2.

This completes the proof when $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$.

Case 2: $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$.

Since $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$ and $\Gamma \in \Gamma(2,\,1)$, c is always odd, otherwise, the b-edges will not induce a Hamilton cycle. As $\alpha = 2$ and $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$, $a \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$ as $gcd(a,\,n) = \alpha = 2$. By assumption b is odd and hence $b \equiv 1\ or\ 3\,(mod\ 4)$; then $-b \equiv 3\ or\ 1\,(mod\ 4)$. For any two vertices x and y on C_1, the path from x to y along C_1 has even length if and only if $x \equiv y\,(mod\ 4)$. Hence the length of the section of C_1 from b to $(-b)$ (containing the vertex $(b+a)$) is odd and the length of the section of C_1 from the vertex $(-b+a)$ to b (containing the vertex $(b-a)$) is even and let this path be R, (see Figure 4(b); note that the Figure 4(b) is for the case $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$; the figure for the case $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$ is similar). Now the edges of R together with the edges $\{(b+a)b,\,(-b+a)a\}$ induce an even length path, say R_1, from the vertex $b+a$ to a. Again, the length of the section of C_0 from a to $-2b+a$ (containing the vertex $2a$) is odd since it is of same length as the section of C_1 from b to $-b$ (containing the vertex $(b+a)$). Now the edges of the section of C_0 from a to $-2b+a$ together with the edge $a(-b+a)$ induce an even length path, say R_2.

As in Case 1, except the two 4-cycles, namely, $(0,\,a,\,a+b,\,b)$ and $(-2b,\,-2b+a,\,-b+a,\,-b)$ in Γ, each of the 4-cycles formed by two consecutive vertices of C_0 and their corresponding vertices in C_1 constitute an alternating 4-cycle with respect to H_1 and H_2. Clearly, one of the two paths along H_1, joining a pair of opposite vertices of these alternating 4-cycles contains exactly one of the even length paths R_1 or R_2 and hence its length along H_1 is odd; hence if $(x,\,x+a,\,x+a+b,\,x+b)$ is an alternating 4-cycle with $x,\,x+a \in V(C_0)$ and $x+a+b,\,x+b \in V(C_1)$, then $p_{H_1}(x,\,x+a+b)$ is odd.

We now show that in at least one of these alternating 4-cycles, described above, $p_{H_2}(x,\,x+a+b)$ is odd. Let S_0 and S_1 be as defined in Case 1. Suppose the vertices of C_0 are alternately in S_0 and S_1, then c is even, but it is not the case. Therefore, there exist two consecutive vertices along C_0 which are in S_0 or S_1. Thus there exists a pair of opposite vertices of an alternating 4-cycle $(x,\,x+a,\,x+a+b,\,x+b)$, where $x \in V(C_0)$ and $x+a+b \in V(C_1)$ such that $p_{H_2}(x,\,x+a+b)$ is odd and hence $(x,\,x+a,\,x+a+b,\,x+b)$ is an odd alternating 4-cycle. Thus Γ satisfies property Q_2, with respect to H_1 and H_2.

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We use the following remarks in the proof of Lemma 2.3 given below.

Lemma 2.2 [7] *Let Γ be a graph of $\Gamma(\alpha+2,\beta)$. If the reduced graph Γ' admits a hamiltonian decomposition having the property Q_1 between $C_{\alpha-1}$ and C_0, then Γ admits a Hamilton cycle decomposition having the property Q_1 between $C_{\alpha+1}$ and C_0.* □

Remark 2.1 *In the construction of two edge disjoint Hamilton cycles H_1 and H_2 of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha+2,\,\beta)$ from the two edge disjoint Hamilton cycles H'_1 and H'_2, respectively, of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha,\,\beta)$, every b-edge of H'_i, $i = 1,\,2$, connecting the vertices of $C'_{\alpha-1}$ to C'_0 is replaced by a path of odd length, see Lemma*

2.2. Hence the parity of length of the path between any pair of vertices in $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_{\alpha-1}$ *along* H_i *remains the same as the parity of length of the path between the respective vertices in* $C'_0, C'_1, \ldots, C'_{\alpha-1}$ *of* H'_i, *where* C'_i *are the* a*-cycles of* Γ'. □

By $\overrightarrow{(a, b)}$ we denote a directed arc with tail at a and head at b.

Remark 2.2 *Consider the graph* $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ *and its reduced graph* $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$. *Let* $\{H'_1, H'_2\}$ *be the Hamilton cycle decomposition of* Γ' *guaranteed by Lemma 2.1. Clearly,* H'_1 *has two natural orientations one in the clockwise direction and the other in the anticlockwise direction and these two orientations induce orientations for the two* b*-edges of* H'_1, *namely,* $0(-b)$ *and* $a(-b + a)$. *We first fix the clockwise orientation of the Hamilton cycle* H'_1 *of* Γ', *and let the orientation of the edges* $0(-b)$ *and* $a(-b + a)$ *of* H'_1 *give two arcs* $\overrightarrow{(-b, 0)}$ *and* $\overrightarrow{(-b + a, a)}$, *see Figure 5. With respect to this orientation, we obtain a Hamilton cycle decomposition* $\{H_1, H_2\}$ *of* Γ, *using the proof of Lemma 2.2, with property* Q_1, *where the arcs* $\overrightarrow{(-b, 0)}$ *and* $\overrightarrow{(-b + a, a)}$ *correpond to the* $(m_1 = 2)$ *edges* $\{(\alpha - 1, j_0)(0, j_0 + c)\}$ *and* $\{(\alpha - 1, j_1)(0, j_1 + c)\}$, *respectively, in the proof of Lemma 2.2.*




Figure 5(a)




Figure 5(b)

$$H_1 \cup H_2 \cong H^1 \cup H^2$$

The Hamilton cycles H_1 *and* H_2 *shown in Figure 5(a) arise out of the Hamilton cycles* H'_1 *and* H'_2 *with respect to the clockwise orientation of* H'_1 *and, the Hamilton cycle decomposition* $\{H^1, H^2\}$ *shown in Figure 5(b) arises out of the Hamilton cycles* H'_1 *and* H'_2 *with respect to the anticlockwise orientation of* H'_1, *where* $|\Gamma'| = n = 10$, $a = 3$, $b = 4$.

Figure 5

If we fix the anticlockwise orientation for H'_1, *we obtain another orientation of the edges* $0(-b)$ *and* $a(-b + a)$ *of* H'_1 *and the resulting arcs are* $\overrightarrow{(0, -b)}$ *and* $\overrightarrow{(a, -b + a)}$, *see Figure* 5(*b*). *With respect to this orientation, we obtain a Hamilton cycle decomposition* $\{H^1, H^2\}$ *of* Γ, *by proof of Lemma 2.2, with the property* Q_1, *where the arcs* $\overrightarrow{(0, -b)}$ *and* $\overrightarrow{(a, -b + a)}$ *correspond to the* $(m_1 = 2)$ *edges*

$\{(\alpha-1,\ j_0)(0,\ j_0+c)\}$ *and* $\{(\alpha-1,\ j_1)(0,\ j_1+c)\}$, *respectively, in the proof of Lemma 2.2, see Figure 5. Irrespective of the orientations of* H_1' *(that is, clockwise or anticlockwise),* $H_1 \cup H_2 \cong H^1 \cup H^2$, *that is, the two circulant graphs obtained from the union of the Hamilton cycles* $H_1 \cup H_2$ *and* $H^1 \cup H^2$ *in two different orientations of the edges of* H_1' *are isomorphic to each other. This isomorphism can be described by mapping* $(i,\ j)$ *to* $(i,\ n-j)$, $0 \le i \ne \alpha-1$, $1 \le j \le k_a-1$, *where the even integer* n *is the number of vertices of* Γ', *and the vertices* $(i,\ 0), 0 \le i \le \alpha-1$ *, are the fixed vertices of the isomorphism.* □

Lemma 2.3 *Let* $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha+2, \beta)$ *be a graph with even number of vertices and having the generating set* $\{s, t\}$ *where* s *and* t *are of different parity. If the reduced graph* $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, *of* Γ, *has the properties* Q_1 *and* Q_2 *with respect to a Hamilton cycle decomposition* $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ *of* Γ', *then* Γ *has a Hamilton cycle decomposition* $\{H_1, H_2\}$ *with the properties* Q_1 *and* Q_2.

Proof. Throughout the proof we use $\{s, t\}$ and $\{a, b\}$ as the generating sets for $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha+2, \beta)$ and $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, respectively. Without loss of generality assume that throughout the proof s is even and t is odd. As Γ is of even order and as s is even and t is odd, α is even and β is odd, since $\alpha+2 = gcd(m, s)$ and $\beta = gcd(m, t)$, where m is the number of vertices of Γ. Let $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ be a Hamilton cycle decomposition of Γ' and $\{H_1, H_2\}$ be the corresponding Hamilton cycle decomposition of Γ.

In the construction of H_1 and H_2 from H_1' and H_2' (see [7]), H_i, $i = 1, 2$, is obtained from H_i' by replacing each of the edges of H_i' between $C'_{\alpha-1}$ to C'_0 by an odd length path, whose origin is in $C_{\alpha-1}$ and terminus is in C_0 of Γ and the internal vertices of the paths are in C_α and $C_{\alpha+1}$.

As $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ has the property Q_1, there exists a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha+2, \beta)$ satisfying property Q_1 by Lemma 2.2. Next we prove that Γ satisfies the property Q_2 with respect to $\{H_1, H_2\}$. We prove this by induction on α.


First we explain the idea behind the proof of this Lemma. In Claim 1 below, we prove the existence of a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ satisfying Q_2 from a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ with property Q_2 and in Claim 2 below, we prove the existence of a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(4, 1)$ satisfying Q_2 from a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(2, 1)$ with property Q_2. In Claim 3 below, we obtain a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha+2, \beta)$ with property Q_2 from a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ with property Q_2. First we assume that $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ is the Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\{\alpha, \beta\} = \{1, 2\}$, as described in Lemma 2.1.

Claim 1. For a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ with property Q_2, there is a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ with property Q_2.

Let $|V(\Gamma')| = n$; by hypothesis Γ' admits a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ with the property Q_2. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$.


Let $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ and let its corresponding graph in $\Gamma(3, 2)$ be Γ. From the definition of $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, the labels of the vertices of the ith a-cycle are $(i,\ j)$, $0 \le i \le \alpha-1$, $0 \le j \le k_a-1$, where k_a is the length of the ith a-cycle. We know that $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ has only one a-cycle and hence its vertices are $(0,\ j)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Each vertex $(0,\ j)$ of Γ' gives rise to three vertices, namely, $(0,\ j)$, $(1,\ j)$ and $(2,\ j)$, in Γ and we call these three vertices of Γ as the corresponding vertices of $(0,\ j)$ of Γ' and vice versa.



(*a*) Labeling of the vertices of the circulant graph $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ on 10 vertices with the group elements, where the generating set is $\{3, 4\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ (*b*). Labeling of the vertices of the graph Γ' with ordered pairs as described in [7]. (*c*). Labeling of the vertices of the graph Γ', with $\mathbf{j}$ if the vertex has the label $(0, j)$ in (*b*).

Figure 6

For our convenience we relabel the vertices $(0, j)$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ as $\mathbf{j}$, see Figure 6(c); we call it as *new labelling of* Γ' (throughout this lemma, the new labelling of Γ' is denoted by bold face letters); in fact, in the proof of this lemma each vertex of Γ' will have three different labels, namely, $(0, j)$, $\mathbf{j}$ and the other one the group element and according to our convenience and circumstances we use one of these labels. But, for the vertices of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ we use the unique label (i, j) as in [7]. In the graph Γ', let the vertex $-b$ be denoted by the label $(0, r)$ and hence in our new labeling it is denoted by $\mathbf{r}$, see Figure 6; for our convenience we write $-b = \mathbf{r}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1} (= -b + a)$ is the immediate next vertex of $\mathbf{r} (= -b)$ in C'_0 of Γ' in the new labeling. Corresponding to the vertices $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1}$ of Γ', there are two rows, each having three vertices, in Γ; the vertices of these rows are denoted by, $(0, r)$, $(1, r)$, $(2, r)$ and $(0, r+1)$, $(1, r+1)$, $(2, r+1)$, respectively, see Figure 7.




Construction of the Hamilton cycle H_1 of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ from the Hamilton cycle H_1' of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$, as described in [7].

Figure 7

In the construction of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ from $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$, the two edges $(0, r)(1, r)$ and $(0, r+1)(1, r+1)$ are in H_1 and the two edges $(0, r)(0, r + 1)$ and $(1, r)(1, r + 1)$ are in H_2, where $\{H_1, H_2\}$ is the Hamilton cycle decomposition of Γ, corresponding to the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ of Γ', as described in [7], see Figures 7 and 9. We claim that Γ satisfies the property Q_2 with respect to the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$, where the required 4-cycle of Γ is $(0, r)(0, r+1)(1, r+1)(1, r)$.

First we show that $p_{H_1}((0, r), (1, r + 1))$ is odd. Clearly, $C_0' = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{n-1}, \mathbf{0})$ is a Hamilton cycle in Γ', with respect to our new labeling.

The Hamilton cycle H_1 of Γ contains the four vertices $(0, r)$, $(0, 0)$, $(0, r + 1)$ and $(1, r + 1)$ in the clockwise order as shown in the Figure 7 (the order is guaranteed by the corresponding Hamilton cycle H_1' of Γ'), where $(0, r + 1)(1, r + 1)$ is an edge of H_1. As C_0' being an even cycle, it can be thought of as a bipartite graph with bipartition $X = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \ldots, \mathbf{n-2}\}$ and $Y = \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \ldots, \mathbf{n-1}\}$. Clearly, the vertex $-b\,(= \mathbf{r}$, the $(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1})$th vertex along C_0', the group element $ra\,(mod\ n)$; we do not differentiate the labels $-b$, $\mathbf{r}$ and ra and we denote the vertex $-b$ by $-b = \mathbf{r} = ra$ in the three labellings of the vertices of Γ') is in X, because b is even implies $-b$ is even; as $-b = ra$ and a is odd, $\mathbf{r}$ is even. Further, $0 \in X$ and $-b \in X$ implies $p_{C_0'}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}) = p_{C_0'}(0, -b)$ is even. Now consider the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ of Γ' as in Lemma 2.1. The Hamilton cycle H_1' of Γ' is obtained from C_0' by deleting two edges $0a\,(= \mathbf{01})$ and $(-b)(-b + a)(= \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{1}))$ of C_0' and adding two edges $0(-b)(= \mathbf{0r})$ and $a(-b + a)(= \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{1}))$, see Figure 8.



Length of the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r})$-section along H_1' is odd, as $\mathbf{r}$ (an even integer) is the length from $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{r}$ along C_0'; this implies that the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1})$-section along H_1' is of odd length.

Figure 8


Next we prove that $p_{H_1'}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1}) (= p_{H_1'}(0, -b+a))$ is odd. From the last paragraph, $p_{C_0'}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r})$ is even and hence $p_{C_0'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r})$ is odd, see Figure 8; the path from $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{r}$ along C_0' is also in H_1' and hence $p_{H_1'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r})$ is odd; consequently, as n is even,

$$p_{H_1'}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1}) \textit{ is odd}, \tag{1}$$

(where we consider the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1})$-section of H_1' not containing the vertex $\mathbf{r}$), see Figure 8.

Next we prove that $p_{H_1}((0, r), (1, r+1))$ is odd. We divide the $((0, r), (1, r+1))$-section of H_1 (containing the vertex $(1, r)$) into three subsections and we show that each one of them is of odd length to conclude $p_{H_1}((0, r), (1, r+1))$ is odd; the subsections are $((0, r), (0, 0))$, $((0, 0), (0, r+1))$ and $((0, r+1), (1, r+1))$, see Figure 7. From the construction of H_1 from H_1', it is clear that as $((0, r), (0, 0))$-section and $((0, r+1), (1, r+1))$-section of H_1 are of length 3 and length 1, respectively, it is enough to show that $((0, 0), (0, r+1))$-subsection is of odd length. But it is easy to see that $((0, 0), (0, r+1))$-section of H_1 is identical with the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1})$-section of the Hamilton cycle H_1', see Figure 7. The $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1})$-section of H_1' is already proved to be of odd length, by (1). Thus $p_{H_1}((0, 0), (0, r+1))$ is odd.


Next we prove that $p_{H_2}((0, r), (1, r+1))$ is odd. As above, we divide the $((0, r), (1, r+1))$-section of H_2 (not containing the vertex $(0, r+1)$) into four subsections, namely, $((0, r), (0, 0))$, $((0, 0), (0, 1))$, $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$ and $((0, r-1), (1, r+1))$-section in the cyclic order are guaranteed by H_2', see [7] and Figure 9. From the construction of H_2, $(0, 0)(0, 1)$ is an edge and the $((0, r-1), (1, r+1))$-section is a path of length 3, namely, $(0, r-1)(1, r-1)(1, r)(1, r+1)$, see Figure 9. Hence we show that the lengths of the other two sections are of different parity. This is achieved by finding the lengths of the corresponding sections in H_2' of Γ'. The sections in H_2' corresponding to the $((0, r), (0, 0))$-section and $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$-section of H_2 in Γ are $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$-section and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1})$-section, respectively, in the new labeling of Γ'.



H_2' in Γ' and H_2 in Γ

Figure 9

We shall show that $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$ is odd and $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$ is even. We prove that $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$ is odd by observing that the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r})$-section (not containing the edge $\mathbf{0r}$) of one of the b-cycles, namely $0\,b\,(2b)\,\ldots\,(-b)\,0$ ($=$ $(\mathbf{0}\,\ldots\,\mathbf{r}\,\mathbf{0})$ in the new labeling, not containing the edge $\mathbf{0r}$) of Γ' is a section of H_2', and it is of odd length as the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r})$-section of H_2' together with the edge $\mathbf{0r}$ is a b-cycle, which is of even length in Γ', that is, $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$ is odd.



A redrawing of H_2'

Figure 10

Next we prove that $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1})$ is even. Now consider the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1})$-section of H_2' containing only b-edges. As we move along b-edges of the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1})$-section of H_2', the alternate labels of the vertices are congruent to $1\,(mod\ 4)$ or $3\,(mod\ 4)$. If two nonconsecutive vertices of H_2' are both congruent to 1 or $3\,(mod\ 4)$, then their distance along H_2' is even. Therefore, as $a \equiv 1$ or $3\,(mod\ 4)$ implies $-b-a \equiv 1$ or $3\,(mod\ 4)$, $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1})$ is even.

As observed earlier, the length of the $((0, r), (1, r+1))$-section of H_2 is sum of the lengths of the sections $((0, r), (0, 0))$, $((0, 0), (0, 1))$, $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$ and a path of length 3 from $(0, r-1)$ to $(1, r+1)$ in H_2 of Γ. As $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$ is odd, $p_{H_2}((0, r), (0, 0))$ is odd by Remark 2.1, the $((0, 0), (0, 1))$-section is an edge, the length of $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$-section of H_2 is even, as $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1})$ is even and by Remark 2.1 and the last section is a $P_4 = (0, r-1)(1, r-1)(1, r)(1, r+1)$. Thus in Γ, $p_{H_2}((0, r), (1, r+1))$ is odd.

Case 2. $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$.

Let $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ be the Hamilton cycle decomposition of Γ' with property Q_2 obtained in Lemma 2.1. As pointed out in Remark 2.2, the Hamilton cycle H_1' has two natural orientations and with respect to each of these two orientations there is a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$. We show that in at least one of these two orientations of H_1', the corresponding Hamilton cycle decomposition of Γ has the property Q_2 (Note that both these two Hamilton cycle decompositions satisfy the property Q_1 as in Remark 2.2). Consider the new labeling of Γ' described in Case 1 above.

Subcase 2.1. $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$ is odd.

We know that H_1' has exactly two b-edges. In this case we consider the clockwise orientation of H_1'. Consider the 4-cycle $C = ((0, r)(0, r+1)(1, r+1)(1, r))$ in Γ, see Figure 9 (the figure for the case $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$ also resembles the same as in the case $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$ and note that $\mathbf{r}$ is even).

To prove $p_{H_1}((0, r), (1, r+1))$ is odd, we divide the $((0, r), (1, r+1))$-section of H_1, (containing the vertex $(1, r)$) into three subsections and we show that each of them is of odd length. The subsections are $((0, r), (0, 0))$, $((0, 0), (0, r+1))$ and $((0, r+1), (1, r+1))$, see Figure 7 (the figure for the case $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$ also resembles the same as in the case $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$). From the construction of H_1 from H_1', it is clear that $((0, r), (0, 0))$-section of H_1 is of length 3, see Figure 7, and $(0, r+1)(1, r+1)$ is an edge, hence it is enough to show that $((0, 0), (0, r+1))$-section (containing the vertex $(0, n-1)$) is of odd length. But it is easy to see (in fact, it is the same proof as in the case $n \equiv 0\,(mod\ 4)$) that this section of H_1 is the same as the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r+1})$-section of the Hamilton cycle H_1' of Γ', which is of odd length and hence $p_{H_1}((0, 0), (0, r+1))$ is odd. This completes the proof that $p_{H_1}((0, r), (1, r+1))$ is odd.

Now we prove that $p_{H_2}((0, r)(1, r+1))$ is odd. For that we divide the $((0, r), (1, r+1))$-section of H_2 (not containing the vertex $(0, r+1)$) into four subsections, namely, $((0, r), (0, 0))$, $((0, 0), (0, 1))$, $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$ and $((0, r-1), (1, r+1))$, see Figure 9. It is clear that the $((0, 0), (0, 1))$-section is an edge and the $((0, r-1), (1, r+1))$-section is a path of length 3. We shall show that the lengths of the subsections $((0, r), (0, 0))$ and $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$ are of different parity.

First we prove that the length of the subsection $((0, r), (0, 0))$ of H_2 is even. Clearly, the section corresponding to $((0, r), (0, 0))$ of H_2 is $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$-section in H_2' of Γ', in the new labeling. Observe that the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r})$-section of one of the b-cycles, not containing the edge $\mathbf{0r}$, of Γ' is a section of H_2', and it is of even length as the $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r})$-section of H_2' together with the edge $\mathbf{0r}$ is a b-cycle of odd length in Γ' (as $n \equiv 2\,(mod\ 4)$ and $\beta = 2$), that is, $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$ is even. Hence by Remark 2.1, $p_{H_2}((0, r), (0, 0))$ is even.

Next consider the $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$-section (containing the vertex $(1, 1)$) of H_2; the corresponding $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$-section in H_2' contains only b-edges. As $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$ is odd, by assumption, and also the section $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$ of H_2' containing only b-edges, $p_{H_2}((0, 1), (0, r-1))$ is odd, by Remark 2.1. This proves $p_{H_2}((0, r), (1, r+1))$ is odd.


Subcase 2.2. $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$ is even.

In this subcase, we consider the anticlockwise orientation of the edges of H_1'. Then $C = ((0, 0)(0, 1)(1, 1)(1, 0))$ will be proved to be an odd alternating 4-cycle, see Figure 11.

First we prove that $p_{H_1}((0, 0)(1, 1))$ is odd. For this, we divide the $((0, 0), (1, 1))$-section of H_1 (containing the vertex $(1, 0)$) into three subsections, namely, $((0, 0), (0, r))$, $((0, r), (0, 1))$ and $((0, 1), (1, 1))$. Clearly, $((0, 0), (0, r))$-section is the path $(0, 0)(1, 0)(2, 0)(0, r)$ of length 3 and $((0, 1), (1, 1))$-section is an edge and hence it is enough to prove that $((0, r), (0, 1))$-section of H_1 is of odd length.

In H_1' of Γ', b is even implies $-b$ is even as n is even. As $-b = ra$ and a is odd implies $\mathbf{r}$ is even. It is an easy observation that the vertices with even numbered labels in the new labeling of H_1' are at odd distance from the vertex $a = \mathbf{1}$, the new label of the vertex a, since the even numbered vertices are $2a = \mathbf{2}$, $4a = \mathbf{4}$, etc. Hence $p_{H_1'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r})$ is odd as $\mathbf{r}$ is even. As the $((0, r), (0, 1))$-section of H_1 has the same length as the $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{1})$-section of H_1', $p_{H_1}((0, r), (0, 1))$ is odd.

Finally, we show that $p_{H_2}((0, 0)(1, 1))$ is odd. To prove this, we divide the $((0, 0), (1, 1))$-section of H_2 (containing the vertex $(0, 1)$) into three subsections, namely, $((0, 0), (0, 1))$, $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$ and $((0, r-1), (1, 1))$, see Figure 11. It is clear that the $((0, 0), (0, 1))$-section is an edge and the $((0, r-1), (1, 1))$-section is the path $(0, r-1)(2, n-1)(2, 0)(2, 1)(1, 1)$ on 5 vertices, see Figure 11. Hence it is enough to show that the $((0, 1), (0, r-1))$-section is of even length. As $p_{H_2'}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$ is even, by assumption in this subcase, $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r-1})$-section of H_2', containing only b-edges, is of even length and hence $p_{H_2}((0, 1), (0, r-1))$ is even, by Remark 2.1. Thus $p_{H_2}((0, 0), (0, 1))$ is odd. Hence the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of Γ satisfies the property Q_2.



The Hamilton cycles H_1 and H_2 of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ corresponding to the anticlockwise orientation of H_1' of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$.

Figure 11

Claim 2. For any Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(2, 1)$ with the property Q_2, there is a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(4, 1)$ with the property Q_2.

Consider the graph $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(2, 1)$. There are two a-cycles C_0' and C_1'; hence there are two parallel matchings between C_0' and C_1'. We denote the matching from C_0' to C_1' as M_0 and the other matching from C_1' to C_0' as M_1; the edges of M_0 are $(0, j)(1, j)$ and the edges of M_1 are $(1, j)(0, j + c)$, $0 \le j \le \frac{n}{2} - 1$, for some c.

Clearly, from the construction of H_1' and H_2' of Γ', as in [7], every alternating 4-cycle having two consecutive vertices along C_0' and two consecutive vertices along C_1' uses exactly two a-edges and two b-edges and both these two b-edges are in M_0 or in M_1. Lemma 2.1 guarantees the existence of an odd alternating 4-cycle with respect to the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ of Γ' and this 4-cycle contains two b-edges of M_0. In the construction of H_i, $i = 1, 2$, of Γ (from H_i', $i = 1, 2$, of

Γ') the a-edges and M_0-edges (that is, b-edges that belong to the matching M_0) of Γ' are retained as it is in the transformation of Γ' to Γ. While obtaining H_i from H_i', each of the b-edges of M_1 in H_i' are replaced by a path of odd length whose internal vertices are in C_2 and C_3. Hence an odd alternating 4-cycle that exists in Γ' becomes an odd alternating 4-cycle with respect to the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of Γ.

Claim 3. For any Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ with property Q_2, there is a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha + 2, \beta)$ with property Q_2.

In Claims 1 and 2, we proved that the existence of the property Q_2 in $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ or $\Gamma(2, 1)$ implies the existence of the property Q_2 in $\Gamma \in \Gamma(3, 2)$ or $\Gamma(4, 1)$, respectively. From the Hamilton cycle decomposition and construction of the odd alternating 4-cycle of Γ from Γ', we have shown that the odd alternating 4-cycle lies between C_0 and C_1, that is having two vertices in C_0 and two vertices in C_1. Hence, as in Claim 2, the odd alternating 4-cycle in the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1', H_2'\}$ of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ is also the odd alternating 4-cycle in the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha + 2, \beta)$; this completes the proof of existence of the property Q_2 with respect to the Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ of Γ.

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 2.3 *Recall that our graphs of $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ always have even order by assumption. As every member of $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ is isomorphic to a member of $\Gamma(\beta, \alpha)$ and vice-versa, to each member of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, there corresponds a graph $\Gamma_1 (\cong \Gamma) \in \Gamma(\beta, \alpha)$. If α is odd, then k_a, the length of each of the a-cycles of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, 2)$, must be even. Let $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, 2)$ and let $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(2, \alpha)$ be the image of Γ under an isomorphism. Clearly, the a-edges and b-edges of Γ are the b-edges and a-edges of Γ_1, respectively, and vice versa. As k_a is even, each a-cycle C_i, $0 \le i \le \alpha - 1$, in Γ has two 1-factors, say F_1^i and F_2^i in the subgraph induced by C_i; that is, for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \alpha - 1\}$, $F_1^i = \{(i, 0)(i, 1), (i, 2)(i, 3), \ldots, (i, n-2)(i, n-1)\}$ and $F_2^i = \{(i, 1)(i, 2), (i, 3)(i, 4), \ldots, (i, n-1)(i, 0)\}$. The edges of the 1-factor F_1^i of Γ become the M_0-edges of $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(2, \alpha)$ and the edges of the 1-factor F_2^i of Γ become the M_1-edges of Γ_1. In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we constructed an odd alternating 4-cycle with respect to the Hamilton cycle decomposition of Γ, so that the two a-edges use the edges of F_1^i. Hence, if $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, 2)$ has an odd alternating 4-cycle, then the image of the 4-cycle under the isomorphism between the corresponding graphs of $\Gamma(\alpha, 2)$ and $\Gamma(2, \alpha)$ gives the odd alternating 4-cycle in $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(2, \alpha)$ using two M_0-edges of Γ_1.*

Remark 2.4 *By saying, for $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ obtain the reduced graph of Γ in $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$, $\alpha_1 \le \alpha, \beta_1 \le \beta$, we mean the following: The successive reduced graphs of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ in $\Gamma(\alpha - 2, \beta)$, $\Gamma(\alpha - 4, \beta)$, $\ldots$, $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta)$, yields a graph $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta)$. But the class of graphs in $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta)$ are isomorphic to the class of graphs in $\Gamma(\beta, \alpha_1)$, that is, to each graph of $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta)$ there is an isomorphic copy of it in $\Gamma(\beta, \alpha_1)$ and vice versa. Hence Γ_1 can be considered as a graph $\Gamma_2 \in \Gamma(\beta, \alpha_1)$. Then successive reduced graphs of Γ_2 yields a graph $\Gamma_3 \in \Gamma(\beta_1, \alpha_1)$. As the class of graphs in $\Gamma(\beta_1, \alpha_1)$ are isomorphic to the class of graphs in $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$, Γ_3 can be considered as a graph $\Gamma_4 \in \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$. We shall call $\Gamma_3 \in \Gamma(\beta_1, \alpha_1)$ or $\Gamma_4 \in \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ (note that $\Gamma_3 \cong \Gamma_4$) as a reduced graph of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ according to the circumstances.*

Similarly, by saying, for $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\nu, \delta)$ obtain its lifted graph $\Gamma_{k+\ell} \in \Gamma(\nu + 2k, \delta + 2\ell)$, where k and ℓ are not simultaneously zero, we mean the following: for $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\nu, \delta)$, by lifting, we obtain a graph $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\nu + 2, \delta)$. Similarly, for $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\nu + 2, \delta)$, by lifting, we obtain $\Gamma_2 \in \Gamma(\nu + 4, \delta)$. Successively, we can get the graph $\Gamma_k \in \Gamma(\nu + 2k, \delta)$. But the class of graphs in $\Gamma(\nu + 2k, \delta)$ are isomorphic to the class of

graphs in $\Gamma(\delta, \nu{+}2k)$, *that is, to each graph of* $\Gamma(\nu{+}2k, \delta)$ *there is an isomorphic copy of it in* $\Gamma(\delta, \nu{+}2k)$ *and vice versa. Hence* Γ_k *can be considered as a graph of* $\Gamma(\delta, \nu+2k)$. *From this, by successive liftings, we obtain* $\Gamma_{k+1} \in \Gamma(\delta+2, \nu+2k)$, $\Gamma_{k+2} \in \Gamma(\delta+4, \nu+2k)$, $\ldots$, $\Gamma_{k+\ell} \in \Gamma(\delta+2\ell, \nu+2k)$. *We call* $\Gamma_{k+\ell}$ *as a lifted graph of* $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(\nu, \delta)$. *As the two classes of graphs* $\Gamma(\nu+2k, \delta+2\ell)$ *and* $\Gamma(\delta+2\ell, \nu+2k)$ *have the same set of graphs, upto isomorphism, we can consider* $\Gamma_{k+\ell}$ *as an element of* $\Gamma(\nu+2k, \delta+2\ell)$.

The idea in the next theorem is based on [7].

Theorem 2.3 *Every* 4*-regular connected circulant graph* $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ *of even order with jumps of different parity has the property* Q_2 *with respect to a Hamilton cycle decomposition.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$. As observed in Lemma 2.3, α and β are of different parity and hence we assume that α is even and β is odd. Obtain the reduced graph $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1, 2)$ of Γ, see Remark 2.4. If Γ' is simple, then it has a Hamilton cycle decomposition satisfying properties Q_1 and Q_2, by Lemma 2.1. Now we "lift" Γ' to $\Gamma'' \in \Gamma(\beta, 2)$. By Lemma 2.3, Γ'' has the properties Q_1 and Q_2 with respect to a Hamilton cycle decomposition of it. Γ'' can be considered as a graph $\Gamma''' \in \Gamma(2, \beta)$. Again lift Γ''' to a graph in $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, which is precisely Γ, having the properties Q_1 and Q_2 with respect to a Hamilton cycle decomposition of it, by Lemma 2.3. Thus the result is true if Γ' is simple.


Next we assume that Γ' is not simple. Let $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ be a reduced graph of $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ such that Γ_1 is simple but the reduced graph of Γ_1 in $\Gamma(\alpha_1 - 2, \beta_1)$ is not simple. The successive reduced graphs of Γ_1 results in $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(2, 1)$. Because of the above process of reductions and liftings of the classes of graphs, α_1 and β_1 may have different parity from the parity of α and β, respectively. Γ' is not simple only in three cases, see [7]:

(i) $\alpha_1 = 3$ and $c = 0$; in that case we obtain loops in Γ'.

(ii) $\alpha_1 = 4$ and $c = 0$; in this case the edges in Γ' between C'_0 and C'_1 and, between C'_1 and C'_0 are the same, that is, they are multiple edges.

(iii) $\alpha_1 = 3$ and $c = \frac{k_a}{2}$; where k_a is the order of the element a in the generating set of Γ_1; in this case we get multiple edges in Γ'.

As the jumps of Γ_1 are of different parity, the first two cases correspond to the Cartesian product of cycles; in that case $c = 0$ and $k_a = \beta_1$. If $\beta_1 \geq 5$, again we reduce the graph $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(3, \beta_1)$ or $\Gamma_1 \in \Gamma(4, \beta_1)$ to a graph in $\Gamma(3, 4)$ or $\Gamma(4, 3)$. But $\Gamma(3, 4)$ and $\Gamma(4, 3)$ are isomorphic classes of graphs. Hence it is enough to consider $\Gamma(3, 4)$ with $c = 0$. Then the class of graphs $\Gamma(3, 4)$ with $c = 0$ reduces to the single graph $C_3 \square C_4$, where $\square$ is the Cartesian product of graphs. In Figure 12, a Hamilton cycle decomposition is shown, where $C = (1\,2\,3\,4)$ is an odd alternating 4-cycle.




(a). $C_3 C_4$ (b). H_1 (c). H_2

The graph $C_3 \square C_4 \in \Gamma(3, 4)$ and a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\{H_1, H_2\}$ with property Q_2.

Figure 12

Next we consider the last case $\alpha_1 = 3$, $c = \frac{k_a}{2}$. As Γ_1 is simple, then $k_a \geq 3$ and $\beta_1 \geq 2$, as $c = \beta_1 = \frac{k_a}{2} \geq 2$. If $\beta_1 \geq 4$, we first reduce the graph Γ_1 to a graph in $\Gamma(3, 2)$. In this case there is only one graph in $\Gamma(3, 2)$, see [7], as shown in Figure 13. In the Hamilton cycle decomposition shown in Figure 13, $C = (1\,2\,3\,4)$ is an odd alternating 4-cycle.



(a). The only graph of $\Gamma(3, 2)$ (b). H_1 (c). H_2

The only graph of $\Gamma(3, 2)$ and a Hamilton cycle decomposition with property Q_2.

Figure 13

To complete the proof, first we lift the graph $C_3 \square C_4 \in \Gamma(3, 4)$ of Figure 12 to the graph $G \in \Gamma(\beta, 4)$ with properties Q_1 and Q_2 with respect to a Hamilton cycle decomposition, by Lemma 2.3. Let $G_1 \in \Gamma(4, \beta)$ be isomorphic to G. As G has the properties Q_1 and Q_2, so does G_1. Now we lift the graph $G_1 \in \Gamma(4, \beta)$ to the graph $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$. Clearly, $\Gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ has a Hamilton cycle decomposition, by Lemma 2.3. Similarly, we prove it for the graph in Figure 13.

This completes the proof of theorem. □

The following theorem of Jha [11] is used in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.4 [11] *Let $\{H_1, H_2\}$ be a Hamilton cycle decomposition of a 4-regular graph G of even order m containing an odd alternating four cycle, that is property Q_2, with respect to $\{H_1, H_2\}$, then the graph $C_n \times G$, n even, admits a Hamilton cycle decomposition.* □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let $|V(G)| = m$ and $|V(H)| = n$. Since H is Hamilton cycle decomposable, we have $H = C_n^1 \oplus C_n^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus C_n^\ell$, where each C_n^i is a Hamilton cycle of H. If at least one of G or H has odd order, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable, by Theorem 1.1, and hence assume that both G and H are of even order. Since G has the property Q, G can be decomposed into 4-regular connected circulants, that is, $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{\ell'}$, where each G_i is a 4-regular circulant graph with jumps of different parity. Thus G_i, $1 \leq i \leq \ell'$, can be decomposed into two Hamilton cycles with property Q_2, by Theorem 2.3. Now $G \times H \cong (G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{\ell'}) \times (C_n^1 \oplus C_n^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus C_n^\ell) = (G_1 \times C_n^1) \oplus \ldots (G_1 \times C_n^\ell) \oplus \ldots \oplus (G_{\ell'} \times C_n^1) \oplus \ldots (G_{\ell'} \times C_n^\ell)$. But each $G_i \times C_n^j$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell'$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, can be decomposed into Hamilton cycles, by Theorem 2.4.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Conclusion. In [2, 10, 13–15], existence of Hamilton cycle decompositions of the graphs $K_r \times K_s$, $K_r \times K_{s,s}$, $K_{r(s)} \times K_{m(n)}$, $K_{r,r} \times K_{m(n)}$ are proved; the factor graphs in the product graphs are either complete

or complete multipartite graphs, which are very dense graphs. However, if we consider one of the factor graphs as circulant, in $G \times H$, with same number of odd and even jumps, irrespective of the number of jumps, which can be paired so that the resulting set of edges induces connected 4-regular circulants, then $G \times H$ is Hamilton cycle decomposable. This proves that with this additional condition on G or H, the factor graphs G and H need not be dense. For example, Hamilton cycle decomposition of $(K_{4r+2} - F) \times H$, where F is a 1-factor of K_{4r+2}, follows from our Theorem 1.2 whenever H is Hamilton cycle decomposable multigraph. Also one can conclude that tensor products of certain sparse Hamilton cycle decomposable circulant graphs are Hamilton cycle decomposable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi, for partial financial assistance through Grant No: SR/S4/MS:481/07.

References

[1] B. Alspach, J.-C. Bermond, D. Sotteau, in: G. Hahn, et al. (Eds.), Decompositions into Cycles I: Hamilton Decompositions: in Cycles and Rays, Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, 1990, 9-18.

[2] R. Balakrishnan, J.-C. Bermond, P. Paulraja and M.-L. Yu, On Hamilton cycle decompositions of the tensor product of complete graphs, Discrete Math. 268 (2003) 49-58.

[3] R. Balakrishnan and P. Paulraja, Hamilton cycles in tensor product of graphs, Discrete Math. 186 (1998) 1-13.

[4] R. Balakrishnan and K. Ranganathan, A Textbook of Graph Theory, Springer Verlag, New York, 2000.

[5] Z. Baranyai and G. Szász, Hamiltonian decompositions of lexicographic product, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 31 (1981) 253-261.

[6] J. C. Bermond, Hamiltonian decompositions of graphs, directed graphs and hypergraphs, Ann. Discrete Math. 3 (1978) 21-28.

[7] J. C. Bermond, O. Favaron and M. Maheo, Hamiltonian decomposition of Cayley graphs of degree 4, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B (1989) 142-153.

[8] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, The MacMillan Press Ltd., London, 1976.

[9] J. Bosak, Decompositions of Graphs, Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, 1990.

[10] P. K. Jha, Hamilton decompositions of product of cycles, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 23 (1992) 723-729.

[11] N. Agnihotri, P. K. Jha and R. Kumar, Edge exchanges in Hamiltonian decompositions of Kronecker-product graphs, Comput. Math. Appl. 31 (1996) 11-19.

[12] R. S. Manikandan, Decompositions of graphs into cycles, Ph. D. Thesis, Annamalai University, 2007.

[13] R.S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, Hamiltonian decompositions of the tensor product of a complete graph and a complete bipartite graph, Ars Combin. 80 (2006) 33-44.

[14] R.S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, Hamilton cycle decompositions of the tensor product of complete multipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) 3586-3606.

[15] R.S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, Hamilton cycle decompositions of the tensor products of complete bipartite graphs and complete multipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 2776-2789.

[16] L. Ng, Hamiltonian decomposition of lexicographic products of digraphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 73 (1998) 119-129.

[17] P. Paulraja and S. Sivasankar, Directed Hamilton cycle decompositions of the tensor products of symmetric digraphs, Graphs and Combin. 25 (2009) 571-581.

[18] R. Stong, Hamilton decompositions of cartesian product of graphs, Discrete Math. 90 (1991) 169-190.